Application No.: 10/583,471 Docket No.: 3560-0154PUS1 Page 4 of 6

Reply dated March 29, 2010

Reply to Office Action of October 28, 2009

REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's thorough consideration provided the present

application. Claims 11-21 are now present in the application. Claims 11-20 have been amended.

Claim 21 has been added. Claim 11 is independent. Reconsideration of this application, as

amended, is respectfully requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner has indicated that claim 11 stands allowed. Applicant greatly appreciates

the indication of allowable subject matter by the Examiner.

Although claim 11 has been amended to correct minor informalities, it is still believed

that claim 11 is in condition for allowance.

In addition, since claims 12-20 have been amended to depend from claim 11, it is

believed that claims 12-20 are also in condition for allowance.

Priority Under 35 U.S.C. §119

Applicant thanks the Examiner for acknowledging Applicant's claim for foreign priority

under 35 U.S.C. §119, and receipt of the certified priority document.

**Information Disclosure Citation** 

Applicant thanks the Examiner for considering the references supplied with the

Information Disclosure Statement filed on June 19, 2006, and for providing Applicant with an

initialed copy of the PTO-1449 form filed therewith.

Application No.: 10/583,471 Docket No.: 3560-0154PUS1
Reply dated March 29, 2010 Page 5 of 6

Reply to Office Action of October 28, 2009

**Drawings** 

Applicant thanks the Examiner for accepting the formal drawings of the instant

application.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 12-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant

regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

In view of the foregoing amendments, it is respectfully submitted that this rejection has

been addressed. Accordingly, all pending claims are now definite and clear. Reconsideration

and withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, are therefore

respectfully requested.

Additional Claim

Claim 21 has been added for the Examiner's consideration. Applicant respectfully

submits that claim 21 is allowable due to its dependence on independent claim 11, as well as due

to the additional recitation included in this claim. Favorable consideration and allowance of claim

21 are respectfully requested.

**CONCLUSION** 

All the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed and/or rendered moot.

Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider all presently pending

rejections and that they be withdrawn.

PCL/GH/ma

Docket No.: 3560-0154PUS1 Application No.: 10/583,471 Page 6 of 6

Reply dated March 29, 2010

Reply to Office Action of October 28, 2009

It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the Office Action, and that as such, the Examiner is respectfully requested to send the application to Issue.

In the event there are any matters remaining in this application, the Examiner is invited to contact Cheng-Kang (Greg) Hsu, Registration No. 61,007 at (703) 205-8000 in the Washington, D.C. area.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a), Applicant respectfully petitions for a two (2) month extension of time for filing a response in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: March 29, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Paul C. Lewis

Registration No.: 43368

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road, Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, VA 22040-0747

703-205-8000

Cheng-Kang Hsu Reg. No. 61,007